

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
WASHINGTON STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY  
MEETING MINUTES

July 20, 2006  
Department of Labor and Industries  
7273 Linderson Way  
Tumwater, WA 98501  
(360) 236-4825

**CONVENE**

Chair Asaad Awan convened the meeting at 9:10 a.m. on July 20, 2006. Board Members present:

Donna Dockter, RPh  
Gary Harris, RPh  
Rosemarie Duffy, RN  
Susan Teil-Boyer, RPh

Board members present via telephone:

George Roe, RPh  
Rebecca Hille, Vice-Chair

Staff Members present:

Joyce Roper, Assistant Attorney General  
Steven Saxe, Executive Director  
Lisa Salmi, Deputy Executive Director  
Tim Fuller, Pharmacy Consultant  
Andy Mecca, Pharmacy Consultant  
Grace Cheung, Chief Investigator  
James Lewis, Pharmacist Investigator  
Doreen Beebe, Program Manager  
Jennifer Wells, Program Support

**July 20, 2006**

**Open Meeting**

**CONSENT AGENDA**

- 1.1 Pharmacist License Application Approval**
  - Hawkins Defrance – Application for Nuclear Pharmacist
  - Robin Pardun – Application for Nuclear Pharmacist
- 1.2 Pharmacy & Other Firm Application Approval**
  - Report of opened and closed pharmacy firms from 05/12/06 thru 06/14/06
- 1.3 Pharmacy Technician Application Approval**
  - Mandip Kalar
  - Kimberly A. Bradbury
  - Elizabeth Ann Fisher
  - Nipaporn Manivong

- Jacqueline A. Breau-Cohon
- Erika L. Borg
- 1.4 Pharmacy Tech Training Program Approval
  - Riverpoint Pharmacy
- 1.5 Collaborative Drug Therapy Agreement Acceptance
  - David West/Anticoagulation
  - Legacy Salmon Creek Hospital/Anticoagulation

Items 1.6 – Automated Drug Dispensing Device Acceptance; and 1.7- Sample Distribution Requests were deleted from the consent agenda. **MOTION:** George Roe moved to accept 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5. Teil-Boyer second. **MOTION CARRIED 6-0.**

Item 1.8 – Board Minute Approval. **MOTION:** Susan Teil-Boyer moved to accept the meeting minutes with changes, removing the second sentence from the second paragraph on page 5. Dockter second. **MOTION CARRIED 6-0.**

## **REPORTS**

### **Executive Director**

Executive Director Saxe reported on the following:

Health Professions Section Four:

- The Prescription Legibility law went into effect on June 7, 2006. Notices were sent to all licensees that have prescribing or dispensing authority. The department put out a press release to encourage communication on the subject and received numerous calls from the media.
- The Board of Optometry received notice of a petition to the Joint Administrative Rules Review Committee (JARRC). The petition requested that rules describing approved drugs an optometrist may prescribe be listed individually and not by category. These rules were developed in consultation with the Board of Pharmacy. The petition was denied by JARRC.
- The Veterinary Board of Governors is still recruiting for three (3) Veterinary members and one (1) public member.
- Mr. Saxe has continued to participate with other department staff on a patient safety coalition. There are a wide group of organizations involved. The coalition is looking for ways to reduce medication errors.
- Mr. Saxe presented at a Northwest Pharmacy Association Convention.
- The Dispensing Optician group is evaluating whether to change their state written current examination to a national examination developed under contract.
- At the last meeting the Board of Pharmacy reviewed a petition by Adam Karp, who also petitioned the Veterinary Board of Governors. The Veterinary Board decided to begin rulemaking on some of the issues in his petition, but declined on others. Veterinary Board staff can provide a list of the specific results.
- The National Association of Boards of Pharmacy (NABP) and the American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy (AACP), District 7 meeting is scheduled for October 4<sup>th</sup> through 7<sup>th</sup> in Anaheim, California. In the past, the Executive Director has attended with a Board member. This year the new Executive Director will attend with George Roe.

### Board Members

*Donna Dockter* attended a WSPA meeting in June in which I was part of a presentation on Medication Error Prevention.

Donna Dockter also attended the methamphetamine work group meeting in which they discussed differences between Washington regulations and federal regulations. During this meeting they received substantial feedback from law enforcement.

*Gary Harris*, along with Donna Dockter, attended the stakeholder meeting held on July 19, 2006, at the Department of Health, Point Plaza East building, which was to begin development of rules regarding sexual misconduct. This meeting was to look at language and discuss ways to make the language more appropriate for pharmacy. The current rules are very broad and need to be more specific toward the pharmacy profession.

### Consultant Pharmacists

*Tim Fuller* met with the Nursing Commission regarding the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction's (OSPI) request for changes to the oral medication policy. These changes are necessary to meet the new asthma law. Issues include storage requirements. Their goal is to bring their recommendations to the next Board meeting. Paula Meyer is setting up another meeting with OSPI to finalize the plan.

Mr. Fuller attended the Northwest Pharmacy Convention and was involved in three presentations; one of which was regarding electronic prescription systems.

Mr. Fuller also presented in Vancouver about emergency response drug caches for hospitals, providing the background from the Department of Health perspective. He will likely be presenting a few more times on the subject.

*Andy Mecca* updated the Board on the new prescription legibility law. He mentioned that one of the largest questions received was what role this law plays in hospitals.

Mr. Mecca also updated the Board on the progress of the opioid dosing guidelines. There are more meetings scheduled to address the development of the guidelines and implementation. The Department of Labor and Industries is currently making rounds to update professions and answer questions. They have already met with the nursing and dental commissions.

### Chief Investigator

Grace Cheung reported on the following:

#### ▪ Inspection Statistics

May 2006

- Inspections conducted during the month: 70
- Satisfaction with process (per survey): 100%

June 2006

- Inspections conducted during the month: 42
- Satisfaction with process (per survey): 100%

#### ▪ Investigation Statistics

May 2006

- Open investigations (on the last day of month): 87
- Investigations closed: 27

- High priority investigations (on last day of month): 0
- June 2006
- Open investigations (on the last day of month): 77
  - Investigations closed: 33
  - High priority investigations (on last day of month): 0
- Activities
- The Quarterly Investigators Meeting was June 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>, 2006. Investigators met to be updated on current events and to share field observations. Board member Dockter joined the investigators on the first day and also attended an all staff meeting.
  - On June 8, 2006, Investigator Stan Jeppesen was invited to the DEA Annual Meeting in Texas to make a presentation on “Pharmaceuticals from Households, a Return Mechanism and Pilot Proposal”
  - On June 13, 2006, Steve Saxe, Andy Mecca, and Grace Cheung attended the first Methamphetamine Precursor Log Work Group meeting along with Board Member Donna Dockter. Other attendees included:
    - Chris Johnson (Attorney General Office), Gary Gasseling (Washington State Patrol), Scott Smith (WA Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs), Mark Lundquist (WA Association of Prosecuting Attorneys) as well as two retail members Dan Connolly (Bartells) and Lee Worthy (Safeway).
  - From June 17<sup>th</sup> to 24<sup>th</sup>, 2006, Investigator Jim Lewis attended the University of Utah School on Alcoholism and Other Drug Dependencies.
  - Staffing issues – Central Washington investigator position remains vacant and Randy Flett will be retiring on August 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2006. Jim Doll is assuming the responsibility of the Pseudoephedrine related issues in addition to his current workload.
  - Future Investigators Meeting dates are as follows:
    - September 6-7
    - December 6-7

## **DISCUSSION**

### **Pharmacist’s Responsibilities**

Executive Director Saxe provided an update on the status of this rule making process. At the June 1<sup>st</sup> meeting there was discussion and a vote on draft 1, which failed. The Board approved an alternative draft. Provided in the Board meeting notebook was feedback on contacts received, notes from the facilitator discussion at Yakima meeting and a copy of each draft rule language starting with the Yakima meeting. There have been over 2,250 contacts, including legislators on this issue since the June 1<sup>st</sup> meeting with the majority not in support of the current draft.

Board staff have not filed the CR-102 rules package with the Office of the Code Reviser, since some members of the Board have expressed a desire to have further discussions. Given that the rules hearing was tentatively scheduled for August 31, 2006, and that this date has been publicly announced, the Board decided to postpone discussion regarding the draft until that date. In addition, recent stakeholder work on the draft will be shared at the August 31<sup>st</sup> meeting.

Board member Donna Dockter along with Don Downing, Assistant Professor of Pharmacy at the University of Washington, met with Christina Hulet, Governor Office Health Policy Adviser and Governor Gregoire.

Given public input and the desire to have more discussion than the meeting agenda would allow, it was recommended that the staff not file the current draft rule with the Code Reviser.

**MOTION:** George Roe moved to instruct staff to not file the current draft rule and to postpone further discussion of this agenda item until the meeting on August 31, 2006, and to ensure filing at the appropriate time. Duffy second. **MOTION CARRIED 6-0.**

Donna Dockter recommended that the Board make a statement to ensure the other side of this current draft rule information is understood by the public. She recommended the Board read a statement into record. This item was postponed until the conclusion of the Automated Drug Dispensing Devices rules hearing.

## **RULES HEARING**

### Automated Drug Distribution Devices

The Board solicited comments and discussion for adoption of the proposed new section for WAC Chapter 246-872, establishing uniform standards for the use of automated drug distribution devices.

Comments:

- Dean Webb, Chief of Pharmacy, King County Community Health Services Division
  - Where can these devices reside? Can a pharmacy at one site manage a device at another site that does not have a pharmacy present?
- Lannie Turay, Pharmacy Manager, Swedish Medical System, Seattle
  - Wants to make sure the Board addresses Article b, relative to controlled substance counting. Mr. Turay hopes the Board will be progressive in allowing blind counting. Mr. Turay wanted to make sure the Board updates approved policies and procedures on controlled substances.
- Rob Taymans, MTS Medication Technologies
  - Mr. Taymans attended to answer questions as they relate to stocking. A pharmacy goes through the process of assigning a bar code to medication which allows for accountability. With this system, there is an electronic log of the medications; bar codes track the location, quantity, and which responsible person is dispensing each drug.
- Beverly Sheridan, RPh, Assistant Director, Harborview Medical Center, Department of Pharmacy
  - Ms. Sheridan noted that WAC 246-873-080 7(h) says controlled substances schedule II and III shall be inventoried at the end of each shift. Because security of inventory on these automated drug distribution devices, it's not very realistic to perform an inventory count at the end of each shift.

**MOTION:** Susan Teil-Boyer moved to entertain a rules hearing continuance, and Joyce Roper and Tim Fuller will determine which of the suggested rule changes are substantive. Roe second. **MOTION CARRIED 6-0.**

## **DISCUSSION (cont'd)**

### **Continuation of Pharmacist's Responsibilities**

Donna Dockter requested to read into record a statement she wrote to educate the public on the professional judgments used in the practice of pharmacy.

**MOTION:** Gary Harris moved to delay reading of the statement into the official record and to postpone the discussion to a future meeting after the Board has had time to evaluate the proposed rule language. Roe second. **MOTION CARRIED.** (Vote: 4 (Roe, Harris, Duffy, Hille) to 2 (Teil-Boyer, Dockter))

### **Executive Director Status Report**

Steven Saxe has accepted a new appointment as Office Director of Facilities and Services Licensing, an office within the division of Health Systems Quality Assurance. **MOTION:** Susan Teil-Boyer moved to support the appointment of Lisa Salmi as Acting Executive Director of the Board of Pharmacy. Dockter second. **MOTION CARRIED 6-0.**

Mr. Saxe publicly thanked the Board for the last 2 years. He said it's been an exciting and busy time and that he has learned a lot. The Board will continue to have a great support staff. Lisa Salmi reported that the search for a replacement has been posted and is open until the end of July. Asaad Awan and Rebecca Hille will be involved in the interview process with Bonnie King, HPQA Director.

### **Offsite Pharmacy Practice**

The Board discussed a request for approval to allow pharmacists to engage in the practice of pharmacy at offsite locations. This request was continued from the June Board meeting. Two questions that arose were 1) does the location need to be licensed as a pharmacy, and 2) is the location able to be licensed as a pharmacy.

Attorney Sara Benator and Dr. Emily Thomas, representing Kaiser Permanente Northwest, joined the conversation via telephone. Susan Teil-Boyer asked if the off-site location is a pharmacy or independently contracted pharmacists providing drug information. Ms. Benator stated that the offsite pharmacist provides general medication information by email to members of Kaiser Permanente in Washington and Oregon. All the pharmacists providing this information are licensed in the state of Oregon, which is the state of their residence and location of their workplace, as employees of Kaiser Permanente.

Andy Mecca questioned the authority the Board would potentially have over an out of state, offsite practicing pharmacist, who is not licensed in Washington. Donna Dockter noted that in the case of mail order pharmacies, the Board doesn't require their pharmacists to be licensed in Washington, but the mail order pharmacy does need to be licensed.

Rosemarie Duffy felt that a pharmacist in Oregon, which isn't licensed in the state of Washington, gives the Board no recourse in protecting the patients in Washington State. Dr. Thomas wanted to ensure that the Board knew that the information these pharmacists are giving is general information that could be found on a website; and that they aren't giving out advice.

Dr. Thomas added that all staff is under the direct supervision of the Kaiser Permanente Northwest Director of Clinical Pharmacy, who is a licensed pharmacist in the state of Washington.

Grace Cheung addressed that it is the Board's decision to decide whether the individual practitioner is able to practice pharmacy. Historically, the Board has licensed the location and not each individual pharmacist dispensing each medication out of state. Joyce Roper stated that the non-resident pharmacy statute addresses the dispensing of medication to a patient in Washington, and this separate group, which provides advice and counseling but no medications to patients, is not recognized in the statute.

Mr. Don Williams said the non-resident pharmacy law is quite explicit. It requires pharmacies out of state to deliver prescriptions to patients in Washington. It doesn't require them to dispense in the state of Washington. The definition of practice of pharmacy is the monitoring of drug therapy. As the state tries to expand it's authority to other states, how would they know who is calling who; or would they have any success in requiring that pharmacist to be licensed in Washington.

**MOTION:** Rosemarie Duffy moved to approve to allow this request for pharmacists to engage in the practice of pharmacy as long as the pharmacist works for a pharmacy licensed in Washington. Dockter second. **MOTION CARRIED.**

#### Board of Pharmacy Newsletter/Law book

The Board discussed electronic media for distribution/access of the Pharmacy quarterly newsletters and law books.

Currently, the Board along with NAPB produces a quarterly newsletter which is distributed to pharmacists, interns, and technicians. This newsletter is an important tool used to update the profession on rule and law changes, providing technical assistance and information on national issues. Staff requests transition to an electronic newsletter, in which NABP would continue to format.

In addition, the Board publishes a hard copy law book that is distributed to pharmacists and pharmacies. Staff requests the Board consider producing the Pharmacy law book in electronic format.

Gary Harris commented that this has been done with other newsletters he's been involved with and it works very well. The electronic documents may create a minor hardship for those without computer access; however, there are many copy centers that have the capability of print out the documents if desired. Steven Saxe mentioned that producing the law book on CD will be more cost effective and provide a more efficient means of keeping the information updated rather than re-printing an entire law book.

**MOTION:** Rosemarie Duffy moved to further explore the discussion of electronic media for distribution/access of quarterly newsletter and/or law books. Teil-Boyer second. **MOTION CARRIED.**

### Washington Methamphetamine Precursor Rules

The Board reviewed and discussed differences in the newly adopted federal regulations and Washington State's rules and laws regarding the sale of products containing ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, or phenylpropanolamine.

Andy Mecca provided an update on the methamphetamine task force workgroup which held its first meeting on June 13, 2006. The group requested staff examine and compare the differences between the state and federal transaction log requirements.

### Policy Review

The Board reviewed the status of assorted board adopted policies. (The Governor's office has been looking at the discipline process. The decision was made to put the Department of Health first in the process for the State Auditor's Office to perform a performance audit. There are a lot of policies that are old and out of date which needed to be reviewed.)

Policy Number 2 – Police Powers.

Donna Dockter wanted to clarify that some RCW's might conflict with what the Department of Health is recommending when it comes to these policies.

Policy Number 5 – Warning Notice.

Donna Dockter questioned if the Board needs to address the use of a notice of correction. There has been confusion about what is expected of a pharmacist.

Policy Number 11 – Phone Calls for Pharmacy Assistants (Ancillary Personnel).

Donna Dockter questioned if this policy is covered in regulation. Lisa Salmi mentioned that staff felt there was still value in this policy and that Board still receives questions pertaining to this issue.

Joyce Roper recommended that this policy be an interpretive guideline. These policies are not directed toward pharmacy personnel. She recommended rescinding this policy and creating an interpretive guideline.

Policy Number 24 – Criteria for Random Biological Fluid Testing. – Rescind – Incorporate discussion with WRAPP.

Lisa Salmi stated that certain policies guiding the Board of Pharmacy are no longer needed and covered under Department of Health policies.

**MOTION:** Donna Dockter moved to remove policies 2, 5, 11, 13, and 24 for further examination and take staff recommendations for the remainder of the policies. Duffy second.  
**MOTION CARRIED.**

### Electronic Prescription Transmission Systems

**MOTION:** Donna Dockter moved to delegate approval of standard Electronic Prescription Transmission systems to staff. Teil-Boyer second. **MOTION CARRIED.**



### Mail Order Pharmacy Operating Procedures

The Board considered the operating procedures of BCWA, a Washington licensed pharmacy and its use of an order processing center in Surrey, BC.

Grace Cheung presented the request submitted by BCWA Health Services LLC (Custom Prescription Shoppe) located in Bellingham asking the Board make a ruling on its practice of dispensing prescriptions that have been mailed by customers to an affiliate Canadian pharmacy and subsequently routed to BCWA in the United States.

Kevin Farris, the Responsible Pharmacy Manager for BCWA, was in attendance to answer questions from the Board. He wanted to assure the Board that a U.S. physician gives the prescription to the patient and the patient then, in turn, mails the prescription to the Canadian pharmacy. The pharmacy in Surrey receives the prescription, if the prescription is cheaper to fill in Canada, they will fill it. If the prescription is more expensive in Canada, they will send the prescription on to the Bellingham pharmacy, where it will be filled and then mailed to the patient.

Donna Dockter mentioned that in the past, the FDA has closed down pharmacies for facilitation of prescriptions from Canada. Assistant Attorney General Joyce Roper advised the Board that this is a business practice and that the Board would not have the authority to make a ruling. Mr. Farris was also advised to contact the FDA for clarification of any potential Federal Law issues.

Grace Cheung informed the Board that Mr. Farris was asked to come before the Board because this was a new practice and the current laws and rules did not anticipate this practice. The pharmacy in Bellingham was not initially receiving the original prescription, but was receiving a faxed copy sent by the Canadian pharmacist but has since changed its practice and are receiving the hard copy from the Canadian pharmacy..

Gael Reedy from Managed Pharmacy Care was concerned about mail order taking place between the U.S. and Canada.

Donna Dockter questioned that if a pharmacist practicing in Washington is violating federal law, does the Board have the authority to make the determination of the violation. AAG Joyce Roper informed the Board that they do not have the authority if it is a federal law.

The Board decided it was not within their jurisdiction to make a ruling on this request.

### Health Systems Quality Assurance Organizational Restructure

Laurie Jenkins, Assistant Secretary, Department of Health, presented the Organizational Review results which are planned to be implemented for Health Systems Quality Assurance and entertained questions from Board members. Board members were provided a short survey form and asked to provide feedback.

Several Board members expressed their concerns with what the re-organization meant for the pharmacist investigative staff. They emphasized the importance of the requirement that investigative staff be licensed pharmacists. Assistant Secretary Jenkins responded by stating that she did not anticipate making changes to that requirement but thought that it was important to utilizing the pharmacist staff effectively.

Chief Investigator Grace Cheung added that it is important to remember that the investigators do more than investigate complaints. The investigators also perform a great deal of preventive work through their inspections, technical assistance, providing educational presentations and they also provide Board support.

## **PRESENTATIONS**

### **Electronic Prescription Transmission System**

Tim Fuller introduced Per-Se' Technologies and the Condor Pharmacy System for approval by the Board.

Warren Williams, of Per-Se' Technologies, presented the Condor Pharmacy System which is an pharmacy electronic prescription receiving system through SureScripts. This program is designed to accept and process electronic prescriptions.

After the presentation, Susan Teil-Boyer questioned if there was a specific location for allergy information. Mr. Williams noted there was no specific field for allergy information, but there is a comments field. Ms. Teil-Boyer also noted the field that showing DAW 1/0 does not explicitly indicate of whether a therapeutically equivalent generic drug may be substituted. She suggested the use of Y or N instead of 1 or 0.

Donna Dockter recommended using a different format, removing the information that isn't necessary to each prescription. **MOTION:** Susan Teil-Boyer moved to approve Condor Pharmacy System with the recommended changes. Donna Dockter seconded the motion.

**MOTION CARRIED.**

### **Electronic Prescription Transmission System**

Beth DeLaHunt of Achieve Health Care Technologies presented the Achieve Matrix System for approval by the Board.

**MOTION:** Donna Dockter moved to approve Achieve Matrix System. Susan Teil-Boyer seconded the motion. **MOTION CARRIED.**

### **Petition for Rulemaking**

The Board considered a request from Robert D. Ashley to adopt rules changing the prescription refill and expiration limitations from one to two years and eliminate the re-numbering of expired re-authorized prescriptions with no changes. The proposed regulation would be similar to other states including Kansas, Iowa, Oregon, Missouri, Rhode Island, and South Carolina.

Susan Teil-Boyer wanted to know the experience Oregon has had with their two-year rule. Grace Cheung informed the Board that the main challenge the Oregon Board faced was the "PRN" (as needed) refills piece. When a doctor wrote "PRN", it meant the prescription was good for one year, which caused a lot of confusion because a designated number of refills were valid for two years. Initially it was a very challenging rule. After time, pharmacists became accustomed to the two-year and one-year PRN refill exception. The rationale behind the Oregon Board's decision was that it is reasonable for patients to be able to obtain maintenance drug therapy refills beyond one year's time. An example: allergy season comes once a year and they felt it was necessary to have two-year expiration to help patients acquire their prescriptions the following year if they've had their allergies year after year.

Donna Dockter noted that she understands the value of not changing the prescription number each year, but she doesn't see a lot of benefit to a two year expiration date unless it's coordinated with prescribers. If the Board simply said a pharmacy doesn't have to update the prescription number each time, there are a lot of examples where the pharmacist would still have to get authorization to refill a prescription.

Gary Harris had questions and/or concerns with this issue also. Some concerns can be dealt with patient education and counseling. If the pharmacist ensures the customer knows they are on a new strength and instructs them to throw away their old prescription information, ensuring they know their new dosage and instructions. He also expressed concern that human nature for a lot of patients would tell them they don't have to see the doctor for two years, and there would be less continuity of care.

Robert Ashley noted a good example is a person who had a heart attack. A prescriber wouldn't give them a year's worth of refills for their initial medications. Once a person moves from acute to maintenance medications, you can then allow them two years refills. Mr. Ashley expressed they don't want to obstruct those patients from getting their prescriptions if they see their doctor regularly.

**MOTION:** Donna Dockter moved to grant this petition for rule-making regarding two-year prescription expiration and re-numbering of prescription refills.

**DISCUSSION:** Gary Harris was concerned some pharmacists aren't asking the right questions of patients about their dosages and if they had recently been seen by their practitioner. Joyce Roper, having worked with payer systems, was concerned if this will become a standard and that patients will not have to pay an office visit fee every year, and they can have their prescriptions for two years without ever seeing their doctor.

Rebecca Hille had a comment from a dermatologist which asked why there is a one-year limit if a patient is on a medication perpetually.

Doug Beeman from Group Health Cooperative, for years has been advising that the Board eliminate prescription numbers. He feels that they carry over from the past, that prescription profiles are much cleaner. To go to a two-, three-, or four year period when updating the prescription number would provide a cleaner view of the prescription history for the patient. The pharmacies will have a lot of data duplicated in their systems having to change prescription numbers each year. At some point, he feels moving to a system of medication management that can do tracking without a prescription number would better suit pharmacies.

Robert Ashley noted that there are situations in cases of allergy medications that require less frequent visits, but there are medications that will require more frequent visits.

Investigator Jim Lewis mentioned that the Board should consider this for the validity of management of records and data. If a physician is going to have a relationship for therapy, it isn't going to depend on the duration of the prescription.

Concerns/Discussion:

- Less sophisticated pharmacy record systems may not allow ease of monitoring
- management of pharmacy patient profiles
- Pharmacy systems do not drive medical care
- Doctor/patient relationships are not enhanced or guaranteed by a prescriptions expiration date
- No expiration date for controlled substances imposed by the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA)

**MOTION:** Donna Dockter moved to start the rule making process for 2-year prescriptions as well as re-numbering of prescriptions. Susan Teil-Boyer seconded the motion. **MOTION CARRIED.** Vote 3(Susan Teil-Boyer, Gary Harris, Donna Dockter) – 2(George Roe, Rebecca Hille).

Item 4.4 – Correspondence was deleted from the agenda.

**OPEN FORUM**

No comments were provided.

**PRESENTATION OF AGREED ORDERS**

**CLOSED SESSION – Case Presentations.**

**Adjournment**

There being no further business, the Board adjourned at 4:20 p.m. They will meet again on August 31, 2006, in Kent, Washington.

*Respectfully Submitted by:*

---

*Doreen Beebe, Program Manager*

*Approved on August 31, 2006*

---

*Dr. Asaad Awan, Chair  
Board of Pharmacy*